3 is possible d energy of pressures up so be used to of liquids if v under preserse of the The original ations.

(8) is to the ricants under viscosity of essure is, of ny lubrication re mixtures of Eq. (8) is true will be made cosities of oils ilation of the ent pressures (8) gives

 $V_r \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial T}\right)_{\mathbf{y}} = \frac{1}{2}$ 

energy unit. of testing this evaluated from nnsylvania oil, re interpolated r Pennsylvania  $85 \pm 10$  percent. ight in such a in factor. The

ty of Pennsylvania C.  $M = 485 \pm 10$  Ge

η(OBS.) POISES	$\frac{\eta_{1500}}{\eta_{375}}$ (085.)
0.77	6.95
5.35	0.95

PPLIED PHYSICS

TABLE V.

LiQt.ID	ΔEvis CAL./MOLE	ΔEvap AT B.P. CAL./MOLE	$\frac{\Delta E_{\rm vap}}{\Delta E_{\rm vis}}$	n
CCI4 CH4 Cyclohexane CH4 A S1 CO	2500 2540 2890 719 516 449 466	6600 6660 6700 1820 1420 1210 1310	2.66 2.62 2.32 2.53 2.75 2.70 2.80	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3
01 C1H4Cla C1H4Bra	398 2270 2590	1470 6930 - 7890	3.69 3.05 3.04	312 312 312 312
Pretane Becane CHCL, GH,I GH,Br CS,H,Br CS,H,CH Ether Acetone C,H,	1580 1715 1760 1720 1585 1280 2120 1610 1655 793	5510 6220 6630 6400 6080 5920 7240 5700 6400 3500	$\begin{array}{c} 3.50\\ 3.61\\ 3.76\\ 3.72\\ 3.84\\ 4.63\\ 3.42\\ 3.54\\ 3.86\\ 4.41 \end{array}$	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4

calculation was made using M = 440, and the good agreement is due to this value having been fund by trial beforehand. It is, however, within imit of uncertainty of the experimentally Intermined molecular weight (of the oil on which the viscosity measurements were made). Conmering the fact that the data were from two Afferent Pennsylvania oils, the results appear promising, at least. The pressures of 375 and 1500 kg/cm<sup>2</sup> were not arbitrarily selected, but arre the lowest and highest pressures, respectarly, at which  $(\partial p/\partial T)_V$  could be evaluated from the PVT data. Experimental data suitable ir a test over a wider range of pressures would be desirable, since Eq. (8) appears to hold up to  $1000 \text{ kg/cm}^2$  for pure liquids.

## Comparison of the Theory with the Empirical Equation $\eta = A e^{B/T}$

It is a well-known experimental fact that a plot  $d \log \eta vs. 1/T$  is a straight line for all normal iquids, or that

$$\eta = A e^{B/T}$$
$$= A e^{\Delta E_{vis}/RT}$$
(9)

ind this relation is now one of the best established mpirical rules regarding liquids. All normal founds, including hydrocarbons and their halogen

VOLUME 9, APRIL, 1938

derivatives, ketones, ethers, sulfides, esters, acid anhydrides, liquefied gases, covalent inorganic compounds, etc. obey the equation within experimental error, and also most liquid metals and fused salts. In fact, it is so universally obeyed by normal liquids that it can be considered empirically as a necessary condition for a liquid of unchanging molecular state, although it cannot be considered a sufficient condition. Fig. 7 shows a plot of some liquids representing several types of compounds.

This relation has had a long and devious history, having been independently "rediscovered" periodically ever since 1913. Following is a chronological summary of the independent publications, the asterisk indicating those which can be thrown into the identical form of  $\eta = Ae^{B/T}$ :

1913	de Guzman <sup>11</sup>	*	
1916	Arrhenius <sup>12</sup>	$\eta v^{\frac{1}{2}} = A e^{B/T}$	
1917	Kendall and Monroe <sup>18</sup>	*	
1918	Drucker <sup>14</sup>	*	
1923	Raman <sup>15</sup>	*	
1925	Fulcher <sup>16</sup>	$\eta = A e^{B/T + C}$	
1926	Dunn <sup>17</sup>	*	
1929	Busse and Karrer <sup>17a</sup>	*	
1930	Andrade <sup>18</sup>	*	
1930	Sheppard <sup>19</sup>	*	
1931	Tonomura <sup>20</sup>	$\eta = A e^{B/T + C}$	
1933	Cragoe <sup>21</sup>	$\eta v = A e^{B/T}$	
1934	Andrade <sup>22</sup>	$\eta v^{\frac{1}{3}} = A e^{B/vT}$	
1937	Souders <sup>23</sup>	$\eta v = A e^{B/T}$	

The symbol V is the specific volume.

The variant forms which contain a volume factor make little or no improvement over the simple equation. In the recent paper by Souders he shows that there is little to choose between his equation and Andrade's 1934 equation, except that the former is easier to use. That  $\eta = A e^{B/T}$ and the variant forms containing the volume work about equally well is due to the slow variation of the volume with temperature.

The several deductions of this relationship have all been based on loose thermodynamic analogies with the vapor pressure, or on vague over-simplified applications of the Boltzmann factor, or on wholly empirical grounds, so that the equation to date must be considered as being purely an empirical one.

From the work of Eyring and the writer<sup>1, 2</sup> it is obvious that the constant  $\Delta E_{vis}(=RB)$  is connected with the energy of vaporization. De

259